WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

ate: _	This pector Kyle	, Or	L-SIVOM	
ime:	1.45pm Weather Conditions: Sc	2014	. 100	dali sa gamaka <u>a sa sa</u>
		Yes	No	Notes
CR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		man a second control of the second control o
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	·
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		and the company of the control of th
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	K		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	:
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	henriambitudrikat likikat kiralik	X	adiliki ji mandani malaji a populi ji populi
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	mante in	X	mandra, por la mandra de la mandr La mandra de la mandra del mandra de la mandra del la mandra de la mandra del la mandra del mandra del la man
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	